



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,814	04/15/2004	Terry G. Jones	09741620-0639	6676
26263	7590	01/20/2006	EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			BALSIS, SHAY L	
P.O. BOX 061080			ART UNIT	
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			1744	

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/824,814

Applicant(s)

JONES, TERRY G.

Examiner

Shay L. Balsis

Art Unit

1744

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the broom head" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the bristles" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the bristles" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1744

Claims 1, 6-7, 9-10, 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nagoya (JP 2004008267A).

With regards to claim 1, Nagoya teaches system for cleaning comprising a handle (2) and a head connected to the handle. There is a stand (4) incorporated with the head for supporting the system in an upright position (figure 1a).

With regards to claim 6, there a handle (2) with a feature (3, head with bristles) connected to the handle. There is a stand (4) for retaining the implement with the feature suspended (figure 1a).

With regards to claim 7, the stand includes at least three points of contact with a planar surface.

With regards to claim 9, when stand is fixed to the head, the bristles of a broom (1) are suspended from providing any significant support (figure 1a).

With regards to claim 10, the stand keeps the broom in an upright freestanding position.

With regards to claim 14, the stand can be considered a guard for shielding the bristles.

With regards to claim 20, a broom (1) can be stored on a guard (4) that protrudes beyond the bristles of the broom and supports the broom in an upright freestanding position without supporting force on the bristles (figure 1a).

Claims 1-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Turner (USPN 2441982).

With regards to claim 1, Turner teaches system for cleaning comprising a handle (5) and a head (9) connected to the handle. There is a stand (20) incorporated with the head for supporting the system in an upright position (figure 3).

Art Unit: 1744

With regards to claim 2, there is an implement (6) connected to the head and the stand is retractable in conjunction with the head to selectively retain the stand from interference with the implement (figure 3 and figure 4).

With regards to claim 3, there is a broom with a handle (5) and a head (9) with bristles (6) connected to the handle. There is a support (20) slidably engaged with the head. The support extends from the head beyond an extent of the bristles (figure 3).

With regards to claims 4 and 5, the broom is storable in an upright freestanding position on the support (figure 3) and the bristles do not bear any force in supporting the broom in an upright position.

With regards to claim 6, there a handle (5) with a feature (9, 6) connected to the handle. There is a stand (20) for retaining the implement with the feature suspended (figure 3).

With regards to claim 7, the stand includes at least three points of contact with a planar surface.

With regards to claim 8, the stand can be retracted or extended to provide retention of the implement in an upright freestanding position (figures 3 and 4).

With regards to claim 9, when stand is fixed to the head, the bristles of a broom (5, 6, 7, 8, 9) are suspended from providing any significant support (figure 3).

With regards to claim 10, the stand keeps the broom in an upright freestanding position.

With regards to claims 11-13, the stand is retracted when the broom is employed for cleaning and extended for storing on planar floor (figure 3 and 4). The stand is retracted or extended by sliding the stand with respect to the head.

With regards to claim 14, there is a guard (20) for shielding the bristles.

Art Unit: 1744

With regards to claim 15, the bristles are connected to the handle and the guard is slidably engageable with respect to the handle and the bristles to shield or unshield the bristles (figures 3 and 4).

With regards to claim 16, the guard forms a stand for the broom when slidably shielding the bristles (figure 3).

With regards to claim 17, the guard prevents forcible contact of the bristles with a surface on which the guard is located as the stand (figure 3).

With regards to claim 19, the guard is a projection that extends beyond the bristles when the guard is located as a stand (figure 3).

With regards to claim 20, a broom (5, 6, 7, 8, 9) can be stored on a guard (20) that protrudes beyond the bristles of the broom and supports the broom in an upright freestanding position without supporting force on the bristles (figure 3).

Claims 1-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Manthey (USPN 6840557).

With regards to claim 1, Manthey teaches system for cleaning comprising a handle (11) and a head (14) connected to the handle. There is a stand (25) incorporated with the head for supporting the system in an upright position (figure 3).

With regards to claim 2, there is an implement (15) connected to the head and the stand is retractable in conjunction with the head to selectively retain the stand from interference with the implement (figures 7, 9).

Art Unit: 1744

With regards to claim 3, there is a broom with a handle (11) and a head (14) with bristles (15) connected to the handle. There is a support (25) slidably engaged with the head. The support extends from the head beyond an extent of the bristles (figure 9).

With regards to claims 4 and 5, the broom is storable in an upright freestanding position on the support (figure 9) and the bristles do not bear any force in supporting the broom in an upright position.

With regards to claim 6, there a handle (11) with a feature (14, 15) connected to the handle. There is a stand (25) for retaining the implement with the feature suspended (figure 9).

With regards to claim 7, the stand includes at least three points of contact with a planar surface.

With regards to claim 8, the stand can be retracted or extended to provide retention of the implement in an upright freestanding position (figures 7, 9).

With regards to claim 9, when stand is fixed to the head, the bristles of a broom (10) are suspended from providing any significant support (figure 9).

With regards to claim 10, the stand keeps the broom in an upright freestanding position.

With regards to claims 11-13, the stand is retracted when the broom is employed for cleaning and extended for storing on planar floor (figure 7 and 9). The stand is retracted or extended by sliding the stand with respect to the head.

With regards to claim 14, there is a guard (25) for shielding the bristles.

With regards to claim 15, the bristles are connected to the handle and the guard is slidably engageable with respect to the handle and the bristles to shield or unshield the bristles (figures 7 and 9).

Art Unit: 1744

With regards to claim 16, the guard forms a stand for the broom when slidably shielding the bristles (figure 9).

With regards to claim 17, the guard prevents forcible contact of the bristles with a surface on which the guard is located as the stand (figure 9).

With regards to claim 19, the guard is a projection that extends beyond the bristles when the guard is located as a stand (figure 9).

With regards to claim 20, a broom (10) can be stored on a guard (25) that protrudes beyond the bristles of the broom and supports the broom in an upright freestanding position without supporting force on the bristles (figure 9).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bohne (USPN 3106738).

With regards to claim 1, Bohne teaches system for cleaning comprising a handle (8) and a head (9) connected to the handle. There is a stand (11) incorporated with the head for supporting the system in an upright position (figure not shown).

With regards to claim 2, there is an implement (10) connected to the head and the stand is retractable in conjunction with the head to selectively retain the stand from interference with the implement (figures 1, 2).

With regards to claim 3, there is a broom with a handle (8) and a head (9) with bristles (10) connected to the handle. There is a support (11) slidably engaged with the head. The support extends from the head beyond an extent of the bristles (figure 1).

Art Unit: 1744

With regards to claims 4 and 5, the broom is storable in an upright freestanding position on the support (figure 1) and the bristles do not bear any force in supporting the broom in an upright position.

With regards to claim 6, there a handle (8) with a feature (9) connected to the handle. There is a stand (11) for retaining the implement with the feature suspended (figure 1).

With regards to claim 7, the stand includes at least three points of contact with a planar surface.

With regards to claim 8, the stand can be retracted or extended to provide retention of the implement in an upright freestanding position (figures 1 and 2).

With regards to claim 9, when stand is fixed to the head, the bristles of a broom are suspended from providing any significant support (figure 1).

With regards to claim 10, the stand keeps the broom in an upright freestanding position.

With regards to claims 11-13, the stand is retracted when the broom is employed for cleaning and extended for storing on planar floor (figure 1 and 2). The stand is retracted or extended by sliding the stand with respect to the head.

With regards to claim 14, there is a guard (11) for shielding the bristles.

With regards to claim 15, the bristles are connected to the handle and the guard is slidably engageable with respect to the handle and the bristles to shield or unshield the bristles (figures 1 and 2).

With regards to claim 16, the guard forms a stand for the broom when slidably shielding the bristles (figure 1).

With regards to claim 17, the guard prevents forcible contact of the bristles with a surface on which the guard is located as the stand (figure 1).

With regards to claim 18, the guard is a shroud that substantially surrounds the bristles.

With regards to claim 19, the guard is a projection that extends beyond the bristles when the guard is located as a stand (figure 1).

With regards to claim 20, a broom can be stored on a guard (11) that protrudes beyond the bristles of the broom and supports the broom in an upright freestanding position without supporting force on the bristles (figure 1).

While Bohne does not teach a broom, it is capable of being used as a broom. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Therefore, while Bohne does not disclose that the invention could be used as a broom, it is clearly capable of being used as a one and therefore meets all the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shay L. Balsis whose telephone number is 571-272-1268. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 M-Th, alternating F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Slb
1/18/06



RICHARD CRISPINO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700